

The Story of Phosphorus

Sustainability implications of global phosphorus scarcity for food security

PhD thesis

Dana Cordell



Institute for
**Sustainable
Futures**



**UNIVERSITY OF
TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY**



Linköping University
FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

2010

This thesis is the product of a *cotutelle* agreement (collaborative doctoral degree)
between the following two institutions:

Institute for Sustainable Futures, University of Technology, Sydney
(PhD in Sustainable Futures)

and

Department of Water and Environmental Studies, Linköping University
(PhD in Water and Environmental Studies)

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree and nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and in the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a series of loops and a long horizontal stroke, positioned above a solid horizontal line.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

When I told people I was undertaking a joint PhD literally between Australia and Sweden, they tended to visualize an exotic life of a young researcher networking the globe and chasing endless summers between Sydney's sunny beaches and Sweden's idyllic forests bursting with wild blueberries and *kantareller* mushrooms. While that image was relatively true, there was the less exotic side of physically shifting an office worth of literature and ideas back and forth across the globe; of never fitting into bureaucratic boxes of the universities, immigration board or insurance companies; of continually packing and unpacking apartments, of missing the births, weddings and birthdays of friends and family; not to mention the guilt of clocking up thousands of CO₂ miles, all in the name of 'sustainability' research.

Finding the motivation to work day and night for 3½ years was rarely a challenge in this doctoral journey. The topic was enthralling and the research exhilarating to me at (almost) all times. Even frequent face-to-face supervisor meetings across time zones that differed by eight hours were quite straightforward thanks to *skype* and *iCHAT* and inbuilt laptop cameras. The real challenges were: firstly, commencing research on a problem situation that many scientists and policy-makers dismissed because they believed 'the market would take care of it'; secondly, taking to the scientific investigation a non-conventional transdisciplinary approach that responded to the changing situation rather than adhering to a single, traditional disciplinary boundary; and finally, navigating a PhD between not just two countries, but two very different university institutions with different values, structures and approaches.

What made this challenging PhD possible and indeed, what made this thesis what it is, were the numerous mentors I gained as I travelled across the globe. Mentors come in all shapes and sizes, from supervisors and colleagues, to friends and family to strangers sitting next to you on long-haul flights from Sydney to Stockholm. This web of mentors knew what tricky or reflective questions to ask, and supported my transdisciplinary approach and research when others were dismissing it as unconventional. Through my doctoral travels, there's been an unbelievable growing network of mentors, friends, colleagues and administrators who have supported my research in many many ways (hence the length of this 'Acknowledgements' section).

Firstly, I'd like to acknowledge my wonderful Supervisors. Like any long-term relationship, the student-supervisor dynamic is incredibly important. I was so fortunate to have Professor Stuart White, Director of the Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF) and Associate Professor Jan-Olof Drangert at Linköping University's Department of Water and Environmental Studies (*Tema V*) as Supervisors. Thank you both deeply for your supervision, mentorship and friendship throughout the journey of creating change towards sustainable futures through doctoral research. Thank you for your wisdom and your unbounded support and faith in my ideas and creative research approaches, no matter how unconventional and eclectic they may have seemed at first. Thank you for knowing what incredibly insightful and open-ended questions to ask me. I was also fortunate to have a co-supervisor at *Tema V*, Associate Professor Julie Wilk, whose swift pragmatism always ensured I never fell through the institutional cracks when I was completely clueless about the university procedures in Sweden. Thank you for valuing and encouraging laughter, courage, coffee breaks and reflection about being a doctoral student in the context of the universe.

Whilst not officially a supervisor, my *Tema V* colleague and friend Dr Tina Schmid Neset provided endless encouragement, intellectual and administrative support and mentorship, sometimes on a daily basis. Thank you Tina for your boundless enthusiasm, positivity, loyalty and for co-founding the Global Phosphorus Research Initiative. Your outlook on life, research and work-family balance is inspiring.

Thank you *Tema V* for enabling me to be a part of the institute and for challenging me to reflect on the very meaning of science, and for demanding academic rigor. Thank you past and present staff and doctoral students for your support, friendship, and patience translating Swedish forms, emails and other university information. Thank you for your intellectual challenges and listening and engaging in discussions from epistemological pluralism to soft systems methodology. Particular thanks to my Final Seminar opponent Karin Tonderski and reviewers Bjorn-Ola Linner, Annika Nilsson and Hans Bertil Wittgren – your comments on the draft thesis, and indeed discussions over the past 3-4 years have challenged, supported and inspired me. Further thanks to Johan Hedrén, Jan Lundqvist, Charlotte Bilgren, Madde Johansson, Jenny Lee, Wiktor Glad, Helena Krantz, Jenny Grönvall, Magda Kuchler and Jenny Gustavsson for support, friendship and intellectual inspiration over the years.

To my friends and colleagues at the Institute for Sustainable Futures – I have never felt so intellectually at home in my life as I do at ISF. This thesis is very much an outcome of the intellectual nurturing and values of the people at ISF in the collective goal of creating change towards sustainable futures. Over the past nine years as research consultant and doctoral student, you have supported, challenged, inspired me and together we have journeyed, reflected and celebrated. I can't thank you all enough. Particularly during the doctoral journey, Cynthia Mitchell has provided vital support and facilitated a profound space for critical reflection on transdisciplinarity. Fellow doctoral student Tanzi Smith has also provided immense support and stimulated ideas and reflection on transdisciplinary research, critical systems thinking, creating change and being a doctoral student. Damien Giurco, Roel Plant and Kumi Abeysuriya have also gone out of their way to provide research and networking support and mentorship. I would also like to thank each and every doctoral student at ISF for your support, intellect, courage, generosity, uniqueness and friendship - thank you Dena Fam, Keren Winterford, Nicole Thornton, Jane Palmer, Andrew Glover, Chris Nelson, Suzanne Grob, Chris Dunstan, Viv Benton, John McKibbin, Candice Moy, Jenny Kent, Carlia Cooper, Rosemary Sharples, Christiane Baumann, Sarina Kilham, Tani Shaw and Phil Willis.

Thank you to all the administrators at both universities (including *Tema V* and ISF, but also the Tema Institute and UTS's University Graduate School). Thank you for your patience and extra efforts to fit me in to the system when I seemed to never fit in to any of the existing bureaucratic categories. At the Tema Institute, thank you Ian Dickson for your computer support, humour and chocolate at times of need and Susanne Eriksson and Lotta Berglund for admin support. At ISF, thank you Lucy Hall, Suzanne Cronan and Carroll Graham for your on-the-ground support printing, organising, facilitating, communicating and other tireless administrative tasks while I was on the other side of the world (and even while I was in the Sydney office). A huge thanks to Dallas Lewis and Tim McEwan for developing and maintaining the website of the Global Phosphorus Research Initiative in addition to the vital computer support (particularly every time I thought my MacBook laptop was about to self-destruct on the other side of the world). Thank you to John Revington who provided editorial services and proof-read the draft thesis.

Outside of the two universities, I would like to first acknowledge the funding support for this doctoral research received from the Australian Department of Education, Science and

Training (an Australian Postgraduate Award) and a 2007 scholarship received from the Wentworth Group of Concerned Scientists. I would also like to thank the Wentworth Group (particularly my mentors Ronnie Harding, Peter Cosier and Caroline McFarlane) for the invaluable strategic insight and advice they gave for 'bridging the gap between science and public policy' in the real world. In my travels to international courses, workshops and conferences, I would particularly like to thank Arild Vatn, Oran Young and Elinor Ostrom at the 2007 Marie Curie THEMES course on *Institutional Dimensions of Sustainability Problems* for their boundless enthusiasm and for introducing me to so many new ideas about institutions and frameworks for analysing social-ecological systems. Thank you also to Ken Ashley, Don Mavinic and Fred Koch at the University of British Columbia for ongoing virtual support across the Atlantic and collaboration since the International Conference on Nutrient Recovery of Wastewater Streams in Vancouver in May 2009. Finally, thanks to Arno Rosmarin and Ian Caldwell at the Stockholm Environment Institute for enthusiastic discussions on the global phosphorus situation over the past 3-4 years.

I would like to thank all the stakeholders who were involved in providing input into this doctoral research, including the international stakeholder respondents (who cannot be named), the participants of the National Phosphorus Workshop, and the numerous others from industry, government, science and special-interest groups who took the time to offer their perspectives on aspects of the phosphorus problem situation.

But equally, I'd like to thank those who didn't believe in me, or were sceptical. I was fortunate enough not to need colleagues to play the role of devil's advocate – I had many authentic ones who challenged me in ways I could not have imagined. You (you know who you are) made me reflect, reflect again, and try harder to refine, adapt, support and communicate my arguments, my values, my approaches.

Crucial to this intellectual journey was support from my family and friends. Thank you to my partner Tom Lindström, for putting up with my over-enthusiasm for 'creating change towards sustainable futures', for being my practice audience the night before every research presentation, for graphic support for the scenarios modelling and for always listening and believing in me. Thank you Kattis Silfver, Sanna Nilsson and Isa Lindgren for support on life, methodological frameworks and the universe. Thank you to my family for supporting my often hectic dual life between two hemispheres in so many ways – from being such proud parents and a supportive sister, to the adorable smiles of my nephews Jamie and Mikey in daily emails helping put everything in perspective. And to the Lindströms for being my surrogate family in Sweden – thank you for your patience, care, opening my eyes to Swedish farmlife and teaching me to bake the most delicious *körsbär paj* and *mamas bröd* in the world! Thank you Elisabeth Baum for teaching me Swedish, for moral support and catching my fall in those low points. And thank you *Migrationsverket* for finally letting me stay in Sweden!

PAPERS

This thesis is based on the following five papers, which will be referred to in the text by their Roman numerals:

Paper I:

Cordell, D., Drangert, J.-O., and White, S., (2009), *The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for thought*. **Global Environmental Change**, 2009. 19(2009) p. 292-305.

Paper II:

Cordell, D. (2008), *Phosphorus, food and 'messy' problems: A systemic inquiry into the management of a critical global resource*, ANZSYS 2008, Edith Cowan University, December 2008 in Proceedings of the 14th **ANZSYS Australia New Zealand Systems Society Conference**, ed David Cook, SECAU - Security Research Centre, Edith Cowan University, Perth, pp. 1-15. ISBN 978-0-7298-0668-8. (Reprinted with permission from Edith Cowan University and ANZSYS 2008 Conference Committee).

Paper III:

Cordell, D. (submitted), *Phosphorus: A nutrient with no home – multiple stakeholder perspectives on a critical global resource for food security* (Submitted for publication).

Paper IV:

Cordell, D., Neset, T. S. S., Drangert, J.-O. & White, S. (2009), *Preferred future phosphorus scenarios: A framework for meeting long-term phosphorus needs for global food demand*, International Conference on Nutrient Recovery from Wastewater Streams, Vancouver, 2009. Edited by Don Mavinic, Ken Ashley and Fred Koch. ISBN: 9781843392323. Published by **IWA Publishing**, London, UK. (p.23-44 reprinted with permission from the copyright holders, IWA Publishing).

Paper V

Cordell, D. & White, S. (submitted), *The Australian story of phosphorus: Implications of global phosphate scarcity for a nation built on the sheep's back* (Submitted for publication).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: RESEARCH PURPOSE AND SCOPE	3
2.1 SCOPE, GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND VALUES	3
2.2 PURPOSE	4
2.3 THESIS STRUCTURE AND CENTRAL ARGUMENT	5
CHAPTER 3: TRANSDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH FRAMEWORK: FROM THEORY TO METHOD	9
3.1 A TRANSDISCIPLINARY FRAMEWORK IN AN UNPRECEDENTED ERA OF GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE	9
3.1.1 <i>Why transdisciplinarity?</i>	9
3.1.2 <i>Current challenges for transdisciplinary research: critical reflections</i>	14
3.2 SYSTEMS THINKING: AN OVERARCHING THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR TRANSDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH	19
3.2.1 <i>Scope and boundary critique</i>	22
3.3 METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	25
3.4 DATA	28
3.4.1 <i>Data sources</i>	28
3.4.2 <i>Data scarcity and accountability</i>	31
3.5 METHODOLOGY FOR ANALYSING THE PHYSICAL SYSTEM	33
3.5.1 <i>Substance Flows Analysis (SFA) and Industrial Metabolism</i>	33
3.5.2 <i>Peak resource production curve</i>	36
3.5.3 <i>Future scenarios: probable, possible and preferred futures</i>	37
3.6 METHODOLOGY FOR ANALYSING THE 'HUMAN ACTIVITY' SYSTEM	39
3.6.1 <i>Soft Systems Methodology</i>	40
3.6.2 <i>Semi-structured stakeholder interviews: design and analysis</i>	42
3.6.3 <i>Institutional analysis: Young's 'Lack of Fit' framework and Vatn's social-ecological framework</i>	47
3.7 NATIONAL CASE STUDY	49
CHAPTER 4: THREE GLOBAL CHALLENGES: ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE, FOOD SECURITY AND RESOURCES SCARCITY	53
4.1 AN UNPRECEDENTED ERA OF GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE	54
4.2 DOMINANT DISCOURSES ON GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY	59
4.3 CONCEPTUALISING RESOURCE SCARCITY	64
4.3.1 <i>Peak everything</i>	71
4.3.2 <i>Comparing non-renewable and renewable resource attributes</i>	73
CHAPTER 5: PHOSPHORUS USE, MANAGEMENT AND PERCEPTIONS IN THE GLOBAL FOOD SYSTEM	79
5.1 CONCEPTUALIZING PHOSPHORUS IN SOCIETY	79
5.2 PHOSPHORUS FOR GLOBAL FOOD PRODUCTION	83
5.2.1 <i>The importance of phosphorus in crop growth</i>	83
5.2.2 <i>A new challenge: securing phosphorus sources in modern agriculture</i>	86
5.2.3 <i>The issue of scale</i>	94
5.3 PHOSPHORUS AS AN ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTANT	96
5.4 PHOSPHORUS RECOVERY AND RE-USE IN THE SANITATION SECTOR	96
5.5 GOVERNANCE OF PHOSPHORUS IN THE GLOBAL FOOD SYSTEM	100
5.5.1 <i>Institutional architecture of phosphorus: policies, worldviews, actors and roles</i>	100
5.5.2 <i>Effectiveness of the global governance of phosphorus</i>	106
5.6 A CHANGING SITUATION: A PARADIGM SHIFT UNDERWAY?	111
CHAPTER 6: FROM PHOSPHORUS SCARCITY TO SECURITY: SYNTHESIS OF FINDINGS	115
6.1 FIVE DIMENSIONS OF PHOSPHORUS SCARCITY	115
6.2 WHAT WE KNOW, WHAT WE GUESS AND WHAT WE DON'T KNOW	119
6.3 PHOSPHORUS SECURITY	122
CHAPTER 7: CREATING CHANGE TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE FUTURES	129
7.1 SCIENCE AS INTERVENTION	129

7.2	THREE OUTCOME SPACES: PEER-REVIEWED KNOWLEDGE, SOCIETAL CONTEXT AND MUTUAL LEARNING	132
7.2.1	<i>Benefits of contributing to situation (societal) outcome spaces</i>	133
7.2.2	<i>Benefits of transformative or mutual learning</i>	134
7.2.3	<i>Contributions of this thesis to three outcome spaces</i>	135
7.3	A PARTICIPANT OBSERVER IN A RAPIDLY CHANGING FIELD	136
7.4	MEASURING SCIENTIFIC INTERVENTION	140
CHAPTER 8: RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS		143
8.1	CONCLUDING REMARKS	143
8.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	147
APPENDICES		153
APPENDIX A: PHOSPHORUS CALCULATIONS & ASSUMPTIONS		155
APPENDIX B: STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS		167
APPENDIX C: SOFT SYSTEMS METHOLOGY		175
APPENDIX D: STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS		179
APPENDIX E: NATIONAL STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP ON 'FUTURE OF PHOSPHORUS'		183
APPENDIX F: PARTICIPANT-OBSERVATION		189
APPENDIX G: QUALITY IN TRANSDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH		199
REFERENCES		201
 LIST OF TABLES		
<i>Table 3-1: For each important aspect of phosphorus in the global food system, the actor, conceptual role and stakeholder group interviewed is indicated</i>		44
<i>Table 4-1: comparison of attributes of peak oil and peak phosphorus</i>		74
<i>Table 4-2: comparison of attributes of water and phosphorus in food production</i>		76
<i>Table 4-3: Comparison of renewable vs non-renewable resources, in terms of their physical and institutional dimensions</i>		77
<i>Table 5-1: multiple roles or functions of phosphorus in society. Some functions are inherently biological in nature, while others are due to anthropogenic interventions</i>		81
<i>Table 5-2: Dichotomies in society's perception of phosphorus – both excess and lack of phosphorus are problematic on multiple scales</i>		82
<i>Table 5-3: Five key worldviews with differing goals and implications for future global phosphorus resources</i>		103
<i>Table 5-4: Common perceptions of phosphorus in the literature by different roles</i>		104
<i>Table 6-1: Five dimensions of phosphorus scarcity: physical, economic, managerial, institutional and geopolitical</i>		117
<i>Table 6-2. 11 Sustainability criteria for future phosphorus security. These criteria also address the current environmental, economic, institutional and ethical challenges relating to global phosphorus scarcity</i>		126
<i>Table 7-1: Key contributions to the three outcome spaces: Peer reviewed academic knowledge, situation and mutual learning</i>		135

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 2-1: Development of the central argument of this thesis. The location of each chapter and paper against each node is indicated.....</i>	8
<i>Figure 3-1: Transdisciplinarity as distinct from disciplinary thinking associated with disciplines, multidisciplinary and interdisciplinarity.....</i>	10
<i>Figure 3-2: The relationship between transdisciplinary research, systems thinking, resilience theory, action research and stakeholder engagement are indicated on the research hierarchy (y-axis) from theoretical framework to methodology to method. These approaches are all useful for addressing sustainability problems at the complex, messy end (x-axis).....</i>	12
<i>Figure 3-3: Interlinkages and influences of some systems approaches.....</i>	21
<i>Figure 3-4: Primary and secondary boundaries of the research scope. Issues on the boarder have been marginalized not because they are unimportant, but because they are being addressed in other research fora.....</i>	22
<i>Figure 3-5: Methodological framework indicating the scope of the analyses in three dimensions: 1) geographical scale (global to national), 2) time scale (short-term to long-term) and 3) epistemological perspectives (from more subjective perspectives (e.g. interpretivist) to more objective perspectives (e.g. post-positivist). Dotted lines indicate analytical connections between different components.....</i>	25
<i>Figure 3-6: Checkland's systems classification, indicating embeddedness and basic relationships between natural system, human activity system, designed physical system and designed abstract systems. Application to this doctoral research is also indicated.....</i>	26
<i>Figure 3-7: Transdisciplinary methodological framework: from data collection to analysis to findings. Other outputs have also been indicated and are explained in detail in chapter 7.....</i>	28
<i>Figure 3-8: Triangulating and supplementing data from primary and secondary sources.....</i>	29
<i>Figure 3-9: Conceptual substance flows analysis diagram showing the interactions between the 'anthroposphere' (human activity system) and the natural environment.....</i>	34
<i>Figure 3-10: Application of backcasting to sustainability studies. The conceptual diagram indicates that some studies, such as forecasting or short term studies may not be sufficient or powerful enough to reach a desirable level of sustainability, as they are more appropriate for marginal change, whereas backcasting is useful when extreme or radical change is required.....</i>	38
<i>Figure 3-11: Depiction of the Soft Systems Methodology as a dynamic and deliberative process moving between the 'real world' problem situation and the conceptual or abstract world.....</i>	41
<i>Figure 3-12: Description and positions of respondents interviewed and their stakeholder organisation associated with different aspects of the physical flows of phosphorus through the global food production and consumption system.....</i>	45
<i>Figure 4-1: Three global challenges that phosphorus scarcity directly relates to: global environmental change, global food security and global resource scarcity.....</i>	53
<i>Figure 4-2: "The Great Acceleration of the Human Enterprise": indicators of the exponential growth of human activity particularly since the post-World War II period.....</i>	55
<i>Figure 4-3: The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment's conceptualisation of ecosystem services supporting fundamentals of human wellbeing.....</i>	56
<i>Figure 4-4: Schematic diagram of the Integrated Model to Assess the Global Environment (IMAGE) version 2.4, indicating the absence of phosphorus next to carbon, nitrogen and water.....</i>	58

<i>Figure 4-5: ‘Safe operating space’ for nine planetary systems, indicating that the nitrogen cycle, climate change and biodiversity loss have exceeded the safe limit, while the phosphorus cycle is within safe limits.....</i>	59
<i>Figure 4-6: Three dominant discourses on global food security: food availability (including energy and water scarcity discourses), food accessibility and food utilisation. Phosphorus scarcity is currently missing from the food availability discourse.....</i>	60
<i>Figure 4-7: Factors limiting crop yields.....</i>	61
<i>Figure 4-8: Conceptualisation of the food system, indicating the relationship between food system activities, outcomes (access, availability, utilization) and social-environmental drivers for change.....</i>	63
<i>Figure 4-9: Resource scarcity conceptualized as occurring when societal demand cannot be met by supply due to various supply- or demand-side factors.....</i>	65
<i>Figure 4-10: Cost of extraction (y-axis) of non-renewable resource (e.g. oil) increases exponentially as % resource remaining (x-axis) declines below a certain point.....</i>	68
<i>Figure 4-11: Some analytical dimensions of resource scarcity along the (simplified) resource value chain. Resource scarcity can be analyzed for a range of resource units, sustainability dimensions, stakeholders and scales.....</i>	69
<i>Figure 4-12: Classification of important resources by the UNEP-facilitated International Panel on the Sustainable Use of Natural Resources, indicating how phosphorus would fall through this net as it is both critical to food production and a non-renewable resource.....</i>	70
<i>Figure 4-13: Conceptualisation of the ‘mineral fertilizer life cycle’, depicting how phosphorus and potassium are returned to the environment, essentially implying a closed loop system. Whilst phosphorus is indeed returned to the environment after consumption or from losses, the time gap between the environment sink and the source is approximately 10 million years. Hence this is more an open-looped, linear system.....</i>	71
<i>Figure 4-14: Key theoretical phases of a peak curve during the lifetime of a critical non-renewable resource, where demand continues to increase.....</i>	72
<i>Figure 4-15: The relative rate of natural recycling of renewable and non-renewable resources on a spectrum of time.....</i>	77
<i>Figure 5-1: The evolution of phosphorus discourses: from the Philosopher’s Stone to use in war, food production, and more recently implication in water pollution. As argued in this thesis, the newest emerging discourse of the 21st century may be global phosphorus scarcity.....</i>	80
<i>Figure 5-2: Origins of phosphorus in food in natural systems: humans get phosphorus from eating plants or animals, plants obtain phosphorus from soil solution, phosphorus in soil comes from weathered bedrock, which in turn comes tectonic uplift of the seabed. Time taken for phosphorus to convert from one form to another is indicated in order of magnitude.....</i>	83
<i>Figure 5-3: Biochemical phase of the phosphorus cycle: phosphorus cycles naturally between plant and soil. Organic phosphorus in a dead plant decays, mineralizes to inorganic phosphorus and returns to the soil from where it came, ready to be assimilated via the roots of a new plant.....</i>	84
<i>Figure 5-4: Availability of soil phosphorus to plants in four different phases, indicating that phosphorus is immediately available to plants for uptake when it is in soil solution, while at the other end of the spectrum, availability is very low when phosphorus is very strongly bonded, inaccessible, mineral or precipitated in the soil.....</i>	85
<i>Figure 5-5: Historical (1800-2000) sources of phosphorus for global fertilizer use, including guano, excreta, manure and phosphate rock.....</i>	86
<i>Figure 5-6: Peak phosphorus curve based on industry data, indicating a peak year of global phosphate rock production in 2033.....</i>	87

Figure 5-7: Global phosphorus reserves as reported in 2008. Remaining reserves are highly geographically concentrated and are under the control of only a handful of countries.....	89
Figure 5-8: Global phosphorus fertilizer consumption between 1961-2006 (in million tonnes phosphorus, P). The figure indicates that while demand in the developed world reached a plateau and then declined around 1990, fertilizer demand has been steadily increasing in the developing world.....	90
Figure 5-9: Key phosphorus flows through the global food production and consumption system, indicating phosphorus usage, losses and recovery at each key stage. Units are in million tonnes phosphorus/yr. While phosphorus in the natural system cycles at rates of ‘millions of years’, flows in the human food system cycle orders of magnitude faster at ‘days to years’.....	91
Figure 5-10: Major phosphorus flows in the food production and consumption system in Australia. The phosphorus content in the production, consumption, excretion and trade of fertilizers and food are indicated in thousand tonnes per year.....	94
Figure 5-11: Spatial profile of an urban-rural landscape – indicating that while agricultural and horticultural fields demand continual phosphorus fertilizers, cities are ‘phosphorus hotspots’ of food waste and human excreta that could be productively utilized to meet some of the fertilizer demand. The phosphorus in the ‘hotspots’ originated from local or distant agricultural fields, hence returning the phosphorus to these sources would be closing the loop to an extent.....	95
Figure 5-12: Proportion of each major nutrient coming from different household wastewater fractions – greywater, faeces and urine.....	97
Figure 5-13: Evolution of sanitation throughout human history, from ‘Early civilisation and the middle ages Era’, to the ‘sanitary awakening and advent of waterborne sanitation era’, through to the ‘waste water reclamation and eutrophication control Era’, and possible future ‘Ecological sanitation Era’.....	98
Figure 5-14: Map of various institutional elements governing global phosphorus, including regulations, policy, actors, sectors and discourses or framings.....	101
Figure 5-15: Roles and dominant frames of phosphorus in each key sector related to the phosphorus cycle through the global food production and consumption system. Speech bubbles indicate the way phosphorus is conceptualized in the major sectors. None of these prioritize phosphorus scarcity linked to food security.....	105
Figure 5-16: A social-ecological framework for analysing global non-renewable resource use. Seven ‘steps’ of phases are indicated, including 1. The attributes of the resource, 2. Technological aspects, 3. Agents and agent choices, 4. Action area which is influenced both by powerful actors, and prevailing institutions, 5. Institutions, 6. The outcomes of the choices made by agents on the use and state of the resource, and 7. The relationship between the prevailing institutions and the attributes of the resource.....	107
Figure 5-17: Phosphate rock commodity price (Morocco) increased 800% between January 07 and September 08.....	112
Figure 6-1: Current narrow system boundary around phosphorus. The spotlight indicates the actors, entities and issues that are largely currently included in the governance of phosphorus, predominantly due to the market system, and those that are largely marginalized or ignored. A more sustainable situation would require the system boundary to be redrawn more broadly to equally include other important actors (such as poor farmers), environmental issues (such as physical scarcity), other sources of phosphorus (such as excreta or food waste) and longer time frames.....	119
Figure 6-2: From phosphorus scarcity and pollution (a hard-landing) to phosphorus security (a soft-landing).....	123
Figure 6-3: Meeting future phosphorus security through a range of demand and supply-side measures.....	124
Figure 6-4: Conceptualising the goal of phosphorus security as a set of 11 sustainability criteria. Such criteria can address the complex web of current challenges associated with phosphorus scarcity (depicted in the outer shaded area). Linkages indicate some of the current challenges presented throughout this thesis.....	125

Figure 7-1: Some research theories and approaches that explicitly aim (at least in part) to create change towards more sustainable (or desirable) futures (i.e. concentrated at the top end of the y-axis spectrum of science as intervention versus science as observation). Many of these approaches also involve multiple methodologies or even epistemologies that transgress disciplinary boundaries (i.e. they are concentrated on the right end of the x-axis spectrum disciplinary to ‘meta’-disciplinary research)..... 131

Figure 7-2: Three outcome spaces of transdisciplinary research: 1. peer-reviewed academic knowledge, 2. the problem situation or context, and 3. Transformative or mutual learning..... 132

Figure 7-3: Key observations and participation relating to global phosphorus scarcity over the period 2006-2009..... 137

Figure 7-4: The dynamic journey of a transdisciplinary, systems-thinking, action-researching doctoral researcher..... 138

Figure 7-5: logo of the Global Phosphorus Research Initiative (GPRI). The GPRI was developed as a timely outcome during this doctoral research. The aim of the GPRI is “to facilitate quality interdisciplinary research on global phosphorus security for future food security..... 139

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CEEP	<i>Centre Européen d'Etudes sur les Polyphosphates (representing the European industrial/cleaning sector of the phosphate industry)</i>
CGIAR	<i>Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research</i>
CSIRO	<i>Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (Australia)</i>
CST	<i>Critical systems thinking</i>
CRU	<i>British Sulphur Consultants</i>
DAP	<i>Diammonium phosphate</i>
ESG	<i>Earth System Governance project</i>
ESSP	<i>Earth System Science Partnership</i>
FAO	<i>Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations</i>
FAOSTATS	<i>Online statistical database of the FAO</i>
FIFA	<i>Fertilizer Industry Federation of Australia</i>
GEC	<i>Global environmental change</i>
GECAFS	<i>Global Environmental Change and Food Systems program</i>
GPRI	<i>Global Phosphorus Research Initiative</i>
IAASTD	<i>International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development</i>
IDGEC	<i>Institutional Dimensions of Global Environmental Change</i>
IFA	<i>International Fertilizer Industry Association</i>
IFADATA	<i>Online statistical database of the IFA</i>
iFOAM	<i>International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements</i>
IFPRI	<i>International Food Policy Research Institute</i>
IMPHOS	<i>The World Phosphate Institute</i>
K	<i>Potassium</i>
MAP ¹	<i>Monoammonium phosphate</i>
MDG	<i>Millennium Development Goals</i>
MT	<i>Million metric tonnes</i>
N	<i>Nitrogen</i>
OCF	<i>Office Cherifien de Phosphate (Morocco's phosphate company)</i>
P	<i>Phosphorus</i>
SEI	<i>Stockholm Environment Institute</i>
SFA	<i>Substance Flows Analysis</i>
SSM	<i>Soft systems methodology</i>
TSP	<i>Triple Superphosphate</i>
UDHR	<i>Universal Declaration on Human Rights</i>
UN	<i>United Nations</i>
USGS	<i>US Geological Survey</i>
WHO	<i>World Health Organization of the United Nations</i>
WTO	<i>World Trade Organization</i>

¹ Struvite is also referred to as MAP (magnesium-ammonium-phosphate), however to avoid ambiguity, the common name struvite has been used.

ABSTRACT

The story of phosphorus began with the search for the philosopher's stone, and centuries later the critical role of phosphorus in soil fertility and crop growth was highlighted. Eventually, phosphorus was implicated in the global environmental challenge of eutrophication. Now, we are on the brink of yet another emerging chapter in the story: global phosphorus scarcity linked to food security. Through a transdisciplinary and systemic inquiry, this thesis has analyzed, reconceptualized and synthesized the physical and institutional dimensions of global phosphorus scarcity in the context of food security, leading to a new framing, 'phosphorus security' to guide future work towards a more sustainable and food secure pathway.

In a world which will be home to nine billion people by the middle of this century, producing enough food and other vital resources is likely to be a substantial challenge for humanity. Phosphorus, together with nitrogen and potassium, is an essential plant nutrient. It is applied to agricultural soils in fertilizers to maintain high crop yields. Phosphorus has no substitute in food production. Therefore, securing the long-term availability and accessibility of phosphorus is crucial to global food security. However the major source of phosphorus today, phosphate rock, is a non-renewable resource and high quality reserves are becoming increasingly scarce. This thesis estimates peak phosphorus to occur before 2035, after which demand will exceed supply. Phosphorus scarcity is defined by more than just *physical* scarcity of phosphate rock and this thesis develops five important dimensions. For example, there is a scarcity of *management* of phosphorus throughout the entire food production and consumption system: the global phosphorus flows analysis found that only 20% of phosphorus in phosphate rock mined for food production actually reaches the food consumed by the global population due to substantial inefficiencies and losses from mine to field to fork. There is also an *economic* scarcity, where for example, while all the world's farmers need access to sufficient fertilizers, only those with sufficient purchasing power can access fertilizer markets. *Institutional* scarcity, such as the lack of governance structures at the international level that explicitly aim to ensure long-term availability of and access to global phosphorus resources for food production that has led to ineffective and fragmented governance of phosphorus, including a lack of: overall coordination, monitoring and feedback, clear roles and responsibilities, long-term planning and equitable distribution. Finally, *geopolitical* scarcity arising from 90% of the world's remaining high-grade phosphate rock reserves being controlled by just five countries (a majority of which are subject to geopolitical tensions) can limit the availability of phosphorus on the market and raises serious ethical questions.

The long-term future scenarios presented in this thesis indicate that meeting future global food demand will likely require a substantial reduction in the global demand for phosphorus through not only improved efficient use of phosphorus in agriculture, but also through changing diets and increasing efficiency in the food chain. The unavoidable demand for phosphorus could then be met through a high recovery and reuse rate of all sources of phosphorus (crop residues, food waste, manure, excreta) and other sources including some phosphate rock. A 'hard-landing' situation could involve further fertilizer price spikes, increased waste and pollution (including eutrophication), increased energy consumption associated with the production and trade of phosphorus fertilizers, reduced farmer access to phosphorus, reduced global crop yields and increased food insecurity. A preferred 'soft landing' situation will however require substantial changes to physical and institutional infrastructure, including improved governance structures at the global, national and other levels, such as new policies, partnerships and roles to bring together the food, fertilizer, agriculture, sanitation and waste sectors for a coordinated response.

Finally, this thesis proposes a new global goal – *phosphorus security* – to be integrated in the dominant research discourses and policy debates on global food security and global environmental change. Among other criteria, phosphorus security requires that phosphorus use is decoupled from environmental degradation and that farmers' access to phosphorus is secured.

Keywords: *global phosphorus scarcity, peak phosphorus, global food security, sustainable resource use, food production and consumption system, transdisciplinary, systems thinking.*